UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,975	04/20/2006	Holger Krummel	851.0015.U1(US)	8160
_,	7590 10/27/200 N & SMITH, PC	9	EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE, Suite 202		VAUGHAN, MICHAEL R	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/576,975	KRUMMEL ET AL.		
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN	2431		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			
(1) <u>MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>John Garrity</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 23 October 2009	Time: <u>11:30am</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a				
Claims discussed: Claims 1 and 2 and related claims				
Prior art documents discussed: n/a				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
/William R. Korzuch/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431				
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicant dicussed an amendment which would clarify the invention. The invention as a whole was discussed to formulate an amendment which conveyed the determinations and the outcome of those determinations. Applicant agreed to formally submit a supplement amendment that clarified the discussed issues.